Why is the Agency Changing the Academic Accountability System?

In the wake of COVID9, we must set meaningful goals for students that help guide Texas schools back on track for success. We must leverage lessons learned over the years to improve the rigor, transparency, and fairness of the accountability system, whätentaining a design that allows all schools in the state the opportunity to earn an A

As part of the continuous improvement process, the agency spent two years consulting with stakeholders on enhancements designed to provide parents, community members, and educators with the data essential to d/ /90tial 46 ((e)-3ro)44[3 ()-gC6(o)-6.7atial 6-6 (2-6.3 (i-4 (-3.2 4 (c)6)-4.4 (s)-1.3s)t)4.9

- 4. Create a unique alternative education accountability (AEA) syste dropout recovery schools (DRS).
- 5. UpdateCollege, Career, and Militar©CMR indicators.
- 6. Improveour ability to recognize growth.
- 7. Increase focus n underserved population within Closing the Gaps.
- 8. If feasible, incorporate extracurricular leadership.
- 9. Use 202422 STAAR data tonsure cut points and targets reflect appropriate goals for students given the educational disruption of COVID.
- 10. Refineand &velopnew distinction designation and/or badges that recognize district efforts.

Overall 2023 Academic Accountability System Design

The overall design of the accountability system evaluates performance according to three domains:

Student Achievement evaluates performance across all subjects for all students, on both general and alternate assessments; College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR) indicators; and graduation rates.

School Progress measures district and campus outcomes in two areasn**thme**ber of students that demonstrated growthas measured by STAAR results and the achievement of all students relative to d (ab)9.1 (i.7 (a)13.6 (tifr)2.2 (in)2.2 (g.6 (m)7.5 .9 131um)1.5 (1a)2.8 (sf. (r)-2. 0 Td Mtn 0 TcA (e -3 (v)-53 ())

Closing the Gaps Domain Calculation

The calculation for the Closing the Gaps domain will be adjusted to account for changes made to student groups and components. The October framework **prid**vide the updated methodology.

New Report-only Closing the Gaps, Part B: CloslO	Тс	3.3	(I)-39	(0)-9.6	(s)-46	(gy)-7i
--	----	-----	--------	---------	---	-------	---------

Campus	Grade 3–12 Enrollment	Calculation	Weight
Campus 1	334	334÷ 2,417	13.8%
Campus 2	990	990÷ 2,417	41.0%
Campus 3	'		

Example District Proportional Student Achievement Domain Rating Calculation

The agence will determine if additional adjustments to domain and overall rationgovisionsare

Rationale: As DRS campuses serve higher rates of STAAR retesters fo Partilehgrowth opportunities are limited adding this new Part B focuses on the DBS ulation by emphasing retester outcomes

AEA Closing the Gaps Domain

The AEA Closing the Gaps domainuld follow the same 04 methodology super grouping and rating calculation as is proposed for traditional campuses. The posed changes for this domain are to incorporate the AEAS tudent Achievement and School Progress proposals

The proposed AE Alosing the Gaps components ans follows:

- Academic Achievemen50%
 - STAARAcademic AchievemenR(eading/Mathematicsat Meets Grade Lev)e(15%)
 - AEAStudent AchievemerDomain STAAR Component (95%)
- Graduation Rate1(0%)
 - FouryearFederalGraduationRate (5%)
 - AEA FouryearCompletion Rate (95%)
 - o If a DRS does not hageaduation data, Part B: Retest Grovisshused, if available.
- English Language Proficien **¢**9%
- School Quality: CCM(30%)

Distinction Designations and Badges

A Badges and Distinction Designations Subcommittee is convening monthly throughout spring and summer2022. They are charged with developing recommendations for refining distinction designations and implementing badges. Subcommittee recommendations will be shared in August 2022, and the Octoberframework will include any necessary revisions to distinction designations and provide detail on badges.

Extra and Cocurricular Advisory Group

In 2017, HouseiB22 authorized a study of student participation in extra and cocurricular activities to determine their feasibility as an addition to campus and district performance evaluation. A team of more than 30 district, community, and organizational representatives from across the state developed an initial list of possible ECC indicators and gathered abledata from the 201920, 2020

Exact cupoints will be informed based on performance duriting 2021–22 school year. To the extent possible, those cupoints will remain static over fiveyear intervals, so that as campuses improve statewide, campus ratings also improve. This allows for easierowearyear performance comparisons and ensures it remains mathematically possible for all campuses to achieve an Ain the first year of this reset